

## They are NOT the Same!

Opponents of spanking typically equate spanking with parents flying off the handle in anger and committing violence and abuse of the worst kind. They also show a total misunderstanding of its religious roots. Spanking and abuse/violence are not the same thing: they are not even on the same continuum. They are poles apart in origin, motivation, aims, objectives, methodology and outcomes. Open up this brochure to view a full page comparison between spanking and child abuse/violence.



Prepared by Craig Smith,  
Family Integrity, June 2004

# Spanking vs. Child Abuse & Violence

Our Home...Our Castle



## FAMILY INTEGRITY

**PO Box 9064  
Palmerston North  
New Zealand**

**Fax: (06) 357 4389  
Email: [family.integrity@xtra.co.nz](mailto:family.integrity@xtra.co.nz)**

# Spanking vs. Child Abuse/Violence

**Spanking** is spanking, smacking, discipline, or corporal chastisement.

**Abuse/Violence** is belting, punching, hitting, beating, kicking or giving someone a hiding.

**Spanking** is motivated by love and a commitment to the **Abuse/Violence** is motivated by anger, frustration, revenge child's best interests.

**Spanking** has a methodology of controlled, measured, judicial **Abuse/Violence** lashes out uncontrollably to strike anywhere, is often inflicted with excessive force and duration and smacks on the clothed buttocks. may include the humiliation of undressing the victim.

**Spanking** has the objective of correction and of seeing the **Abuse/Violence** has the objective of punishment—inflicting child's behaviour brought back into line. pain, revenge or humiliation—for hugging the offender beyond his or her own ill-defined limits.

**Spanking** seeks to restore the parent-child relationship ruptured by the child's unacceptable behaviour.

**Spanking** is applied to a child who consciously submits to the **Abuse/Violence** is perpetrated against a child arbitrarily, at discipline for breaking specified rules of which the child was the whim of the perpetrator, often without warning or explanation.

**Spanking** is the Biblical remedy for expressions of serious **Abuse/Violence** is dished out for accidents, mistakes, mis-spiritual rebellion such as Disobedience, Dishonesty, Disrespect judgments, carelessness, being silly or other expressions of normal physical immaturity or childishness.

**Spanking** is commended by the Bible as a strategy for parents **Abuse/Violence** is condemned by the Bible as an unacceptable way for anyone to treat children.

**Spanking** is done in a wider context of active, authoritative **Abuse/Violence** has a context of parental neglect, indulgence parental involvement plus loving and consistent verbal affirmation, dictatorial authoritarianism, often including impatient and unwarranted verbal abuse.

**Spanking** has a further context of stable and committed family relationships, the most stable and caring of which is a legally married husband and wife plus their children.

**Spanking** is done with an eye to increasing the child's undeveloped maturity, understanding, self discipline and independence.

**Spanking** is totally centred on positively contributing to the **Abuse/Violence** is totally centred on restoring the offender's, child's growth and maturity.

**Spanking** is discipline applied to the undisciplined child by a **Abuse/Violence** is an arbitrary, inconsistent attempt at discipline/guardian who is more disciplined than the child and who disciplines the now confused and undisciplined child by one just seeking to be a role model.

**Spanking** consistently applied is needed less and less each **Abuse/Violence**, because it stems from unresolved issues in year and rarely after the ages of 8 to 10.

**Spanking** produces the peaceful and orderly life of self-**Abuse/Violence** breeds continuing violence and a lack of discipline in those who have been trained by it.

**Note:** 1. Data from the UK shows that compared with the intact married family, serious child abuse is: six times higher in the step-family; 14 times higher in families with single mothers (divorced and single mothers combined); 20 times higher in families with single fathers (predominantly divorced fathers); 20 times higher with de facto biological parents; and 22 times higher where the mother cohabits with a boyfriend. (Greg Fleming, Managing Director of the Maxim Institute, *New Zealand Herald*, 25 June 2002, Parents need secure option before giving up smacking.)